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# ELECTIVE 2 OPTIMAL CONTROL SYSTEMS (ACE 326) 

## Lecture 6- Optimal Control Systems Ref. 2: Chapters 1\&2

## Dr. Lamiaa M. Elshenawy

Email: lamiaa.elshenawy@el-eng.menofia.edu.eg lamiaa.elshenawy@gmail.com
Website: http://mu.menofia.edu.eg/Imyaa alshnawy/StaffDetails/1/ar

## OUTLINES

- Historical Tour
- Modern Control Theory Vs. Conventional Control Theory
- Optimal Control System


## HISTORICAL TOUR

1. Johannes Bernoulli in $\underline{1699}$ posed the problem "finding the path of quickest descent between two points not in the same horizontal or vertical line".
2. Leonhard Euler in $\underline{1750}$ solved the problem and is founder of calculus of variations in 1766.
3. Joseph-Louis Lagrange in $\underline{1755}$ found necessary condition "Euler Lagrange equation".
4. Andrien Marie Legendre in 1786 found sufficient condition.
5. Carl Gustav Jacob Jacobi in 1836 came up with a more rigorous analysis of the sufficient conditions "Legendre-Jacobi condition".

Johannes Bernoulli (1667-1748): a Swiss mathematician
Leonhard Euler (1707-1783): a Swiss mathematician, physicist, astronomer and engineer
Andrien Marie Legendre (1752-1833): a French mathematician Carl Gustav Jacob Jacobi (1804-1851): a German mathematician

## HISTORICAL TOUR

6. William Rowan Hamilton in 1838 did some remarkable work on mechanics, motion of a particle in space "Hamilton-Jacobi equation".
7. N. Wiener developed optimal control for weapon fire during World War II (1940-1945).
8. L. S. Pontryagin in $\underline{1956}$ presented "maximum principle "based on work of Hamilton function.
9. R. Bellman in $\underline{1957}$ introduced "dynamic programming "to solve discrete time optimal control problems "Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman approach".
10. R. E. Kalman in $\underline{1960}$ provided linear quadratic regulator (LQR) and linear quadratic Gaussian (LQG) theory to design optimal feedback controls based on work of Pontryagin.

William Rowan Hamilton (1788-1856) an Irish mathematician
N. Wiener (1894-1964) an American mathematician and philosopher Richard Ernest Bellman(1920-1984) an American applied mathematician
Lev Semyonovich Pontryagin (1908-1988): a Soviet mathematician Rudolf Emil Kalman (1930-2016) a Hungarian-born American electrical engineer, mathematician, and inventor.

## MODERN CONT. YS CONYENTIONAL CONT.

## Conventional Control

Frequency domain approach

Based on Laplace transforms theory

## Modern Control

Time domain approach

Based on state variable representation

Applicable to SISO, LTI systems only

Applicable to SISO/MIMO linear/nonlinear, time-invariant/ time-varying
Initial conditions $\neq$ Zero

## MODERN CONT. YS CONYENTIONAL CONT.



## IMPORTANT IDIOMS

$\square$ Calculus of Variations (CoV): is the branch of
mathematics concerning to find maxima and minima of functionals.
$\square$ Functionals: function of a function. Let $J$ is a functional dependent on a function $f(x) ; J=V(f(x)) ; V$ are often expressed as definite integrals.
$\square$ Quadratic Form: is a special nonlinear function having only second-order terms (either the square of a variable or the product of two variables).

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}=x^{T} P x \\
P=\left[p_{i j}\right]_{n \times n} \text { matrix }
\end{gathered}
$$

## OPTIMAL CONTROLSYSTEM

## Optimal Control System

## Static

$\checkmark$ Plants under steady state conditions
$\checkmark$ Algebraic equations
$\checkmark$ Calculus, Lagrange multipliers
Linear/nonlinear programming

## Dynamic

$\checkmark$ Plants under dynamic conditions
$\checkmark$ Differential/difference equations
$\checkmark$ Calculus of variations, Pontryagin principle, dynamic programming/search techniques

## OPTIMAL CONTROLSYSTEM

## Performance Index

## Classical control design

$\checkmark$ Time response (rise time, settling time, peak overshoot, steady state accuracy)
$\checkmark$ Frequency response (gain/phase margin, bandwidth)

Modern control design

Find $u^{*}$
$\checkmark$ Reach a target (follow trajectory)
$\checkmark$ Extremize performance index

## OPTIMAL CONTROLSYSTEM



## OPTIMAL CONTROL SYSTEM

## THEOREM 8

Euler-Lagrange Multiplier Theorem
Minimize cost function $J=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{f}}\left[\mathrm{x}^{T} Q \mathrm{x}+\boldsymbol{u}^{T} R u\right] d t$ subject to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{\mathbf{x}}=A \mathbf{x}+B u \\
\text { If } V(\mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{u}, t)=\mathbf{x}^{T} Q \mathbf{Q}+u^{T} R u, \\
h(\mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{u}, t)=\dot{\mathrm{x}}-A \mathbf{x}-B u=0 \\
L(\mathrm{x}, \dot{\mathrm{x}}, u, t)=\boldsymbol{V}(\mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}, u, t)+\lambda^{T} h(\mathrm{x}, \dot{\mathrm{x}}, u, t) \text { and } \mathrm{x}^{*}, u^{*} \text { is a local } \\
\text { minimum, then } \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{*}}-\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathrm{x}}^{*}}=0 ; \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^{*}}-\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{u}^{*}}=0 ; \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda^{*}}-\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\lambda}^{*}}=0 ; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{0}) \text { given } \\
\text { (Necessary condition) }
\end{gathered}
$$

Leonhard Euler (1707-1783): a Swiss mathematician, physicist, astronomer and engineer

## OPTIMAL CONTROL SYSTEM

## Example 14: Minimize

$$
J=\int_{0}^{1}\left[x^{2}(t)+u^{2}(t)\right] d t
$$

Subject to plant equation $\dot{x}(t)=-x(t)+u(t)$
with boundary conditions $\quad x(0)=1 ; x(1)=0$

## Solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& L=x^{2}(t)+u^{2}(t)+\lambda(\dot{x}(t)+x(t)-u(t)) \\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}-\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}=2 x(t)+\lambda-\dot{\lambda}=0 \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

## OPTIMAL CONTROL SYSTEM

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial u}-\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{u}}=2 u(t)-\lambda=0  \tag{2}\\
& \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda}-\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\lambda}}=\dot{x}(t)+x(t)-u(t)=0 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2) and (3), $\lambda^{*}(t)=2 u(t)=2 \dot{x}(t)+2 x(t)$
From (1), $\ddot{x}(t)-2 x(t)=0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{*}(t)=C_{1} e^{-\sqrt{2} t}+C_{2} e^{\sqrt{2} t} \\
u^{*}(t)=C_{1}(1-\sqrt{2}) e^{-\sqrt{2 t}}+C_{2}(1+\sqrt{2}) e^{\sqrt{2 t}} \\
C_{1}=\frac{1}{1-e^{-2 \sqrt{2}}}, C_{2}=\frac{1}{1-e^{2 \sqrt{2}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## OPTIMAL CONTROLSYSTEM

## THEOREM 9

## Pontryagin Maximum Principle

Minimize cost function $J=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{f}}\left[\mathrm{x}^{T} Q \mathrm{x}+u^{T} R u\right] d t$ subject to

$$
\begin{gathered}
f=\dot{\mathbf{x}}=A \mathbf{x}+B u \\
\text { If } \boldsymbol{V}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{t})=\mathbf{x}^{T} \boldsymbol{Q}+u^{T} R u, \\
H(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{t})=V(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{t})+\lambda^{T} \boldsymbol{f} \\
\text { and } \mathbf{x}^{*}, u^{*} \text { is a local minimum, then } \\
\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{i}^{*}}=-\dot{\lambda}_{i} ; \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda_{i}^{*}}=\dot{x}_{i} ; \frac{\partial H}{\partial u^{*}}=\mathbf{0} ; \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{0}) \text { given } \\
\text { (Necessary condition) }
\end{gathered}
$$

## OPTIMAL CONTROL SYSTEM

Example 15: Minimize using maximum principle

$$
J=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} u^{2}(t) d t
$$

Subject to plant equation

$$
\dot{x}_{1}(t)=x_{2}(t), \quad \dot{x}_{2}(t)=u(t)
$$

with boundary conditions $x(0)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right]^{T} ; x(2)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right]^{T}$

## Solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{V}=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}^{2}(\boldsymbol{t}), \boldsymbol{f}_{1}=x_{2}(t), \boldsymbol{f}_{2}=u(t) \\
& \boldsymbol{H}=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}^{2}(\boldsymbol{t})+\lambda_{1} \boldsymbol{f}_{1}+\lambda_{2} \boldsymbol{f}_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{u}^{2}(\boldsymbol{t})+\lambda_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{2}(\boldsymbol{t})+\lambda_{2} \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{t}) \\
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{H}}{\boldsymbol{\partial u}}=\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{t})+\lambda_{2}(\boldsymbol{t})=\mathbf{0} ; \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{t})=-\lambda_{2}(\boldsymbol{t})
\end{align*}
$$

## OPTIMAL CONTROLSYSTEM

From (1) and (3), $H=\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2}{ }^{2}(t)+\lambda_{1} x_{2}(t)-\lambda_{2}{ }^{2}(t)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{1}(t)=\frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda_{1}}=x_{2}(t)  \tag{3}\\
& \dot{x}_{2}(t)=\frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda_{2}}=-\lambda_{2}(t)  \tag{4}\\
& \dot{\lambda_{1}}(t)=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{1}}=0  \tag{5}\\
& \dot{\lambda_{2}}(t)=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{2}}=-\lambda_{1}(t) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

## OPTIMAL CONTROL SYSTEM

From (5) and (6) $\lambda_{1}(t)=C_{1}, \lambda_{2}(t)=-C_{1} t+C_{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{2}(t)=\frac{C_{1}}{2} t^{2}-C_{2} t+C_{3} \\
x_{1}(t)=\frac{C_{1}}{6} t^{3}-\frac{C_{2}}{2} t^{2}+C_{3} t+C_{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

From boundary conditions

Candidate optimum design

$$
C_{4}=1, C_{3}=2, C_{2}=4 \text { and } C_{1}=3
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}{ }^{*}(t)=\frac{1}{2} t^{3}-2 t^{2}+2 t+1 \\
x_{2}{ }^{*}(t)=\frac{3}{2} t^{2}-4 t+2 \\
\lambda_{1}{ }^{*}(t)=3, \lambda_{2}{ }^{*}=-3 t+4 \\
u^{*}(t)=3 t-4
\end{gathered}
$$

## OPTIMAL CONTROL SYSTEM
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